Universe Scientific Publishing

Radiologic Diagnosis and Differential Diagnosis of Traumatic Fractures

Xu Zhou*

Chiping District People's Hospital, Liaocheng252100, China

Abstract:This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of radiologic methods such as X-ray, CT, and MRI in the diagnosis of traumatic fractures by detailed analysis. The diagnostic performance of these methods is assessed, and the accuracy of differential diagnosis with similar conditions is explored. By providing specific data and case examples, we hope to offer more detailed and accurate guidance for the radiologic diagnosis and differential diagnosis of traumatic fractures, ultimately enabling more precise treatment plans for patients.

Keywords: Traumatic Fractures; X-ray; CT; MRI; Diagnostic Accuracy; Differential Diagnosis

Introduction

Traumatic fractures are a common clinical condition resulting from injuries, and their accurate diagnosis and timely treatment are crucial for patient recovery. With continuous advancements in medical imaging technology, methods such as X-ray, CT, and MRI are playing increasingly important roles in the diagnosis of traumatic fractures. However, each imaging method has its own advantages and disadvantages, making the rational selection and use of these methods to improve diagnostic accuracy a primary concern for clinicians and radiologists.In addition, the radiologic diagnosis of traumatic fractures faces challenges in differentiating them from various similar conditions[1]. Diseases such as localized soft tissue contusions, ligament injuries, and joint dislocations can present with imaging features similar to those of traumatic fractures, leading to potential misdiagnosis or missed diagnoses. Therefore, establishing a scientific and accurate differential diagnosis process is essential for enhancing the diagnostic accuracy of traumatic fractures.

1. Materials and Methods

1.1 Patient Data

This study retrospectively analyzed the clinical and radiologic data of 500 patients with traumatic fractures treated at our hospital between 2018 and 2022. The patients ranged in age from 18 to 80 years, with an average age of 45 years. Among them, there were 300 male patients and 200 female patients. The causes of injury primarily included traffic accidents (280 cases), falls from height (120 cases), sports injuries (70 cases), and other causes (30 cases).

1.2 Imaging Examination Methods

(1) X-ray Examination:All 500 patients in this study underwent X-ray examinations, which are the cornerstone of the initial diagnosis of traumatic fractures. During the examination, patients were positioned for anteroposterior and lateral views, or special views tailored to specific anatomical regions. The equipment used was the advanced Philips Digital Diagnost DR system, renowned for its high resolution and excellent image quality. Technicians meticulously adjusted exposure parameters such as kilovoltage (kV) and milliampere-seconds (mAs) based on the patient's body part and size to obtain optimal image contrast and clarity, ensuring clear visibility of fracture lines and other bone structure details.

(2) CT Examination:For the 150 patients whose diagnoses were inconclusive or suspected from X-ray images, further detailed assessment was performed using CT scans. The Siemens SOMATOM Definition AS+ 128-slice spiral CT scanner was used, known for its high-precision imaging and multi-slice spiral scanning technology, providing more detailed diagnostic information. During scanning, the device parameters were set to 120 kV and 200 mAs, ensuring sufficient penetration and image quality. The slice thickness was set to 1 mm, with a reconstruction interval of 0.7 mm, aiding in the capture of minute fracture lines and bone fragments[2]. Both bone window and soft tissue win-

dow settings were utilized to assess bone integrity as well as surrounding soft tissue conditions .

(3) MRI Examination: For the 100 patients suspected of having associated soft tissue injuries or specific types of fractures, MRI examinations were conducted. The GE Signa HDxt 1.5T MRI scanner was used, offering excellent soft tissue resolution. Using routine T1-weighted, T2-weighted, and STIR sequences, we could clearly display fracture lines and accurately assess surrounding soft tissue damage, such as tendons, ligaments, and joint capsules. The multi-sequence imaging capability of MRI provides a unique advantage in diagnosing complex fractures and associated soft tissue injuries.

1.3 Image Analysis

All radiologic data, including X-ray films, CT scans, and MRI images, were independently analyzed by two radiologists with extensive professional knowledge and experience. During the review process, the radiologists meticulously recorded the type of fracture (such as transverse, oblique, spiral, or comminuted) and precisely marked the specific location of each fracture. They also comprehensively assessed the displacement of the fractures, categorizing them into angulated, lateral, and shortened displacements. In addition to evaluating the fractures, the radiologists paid particular attention to and documented any other potential abnormalities, such as joint dislocations, soft tissue swelling, and the presence of foreign bodies. If there were discrepancies between the diagnoses of the two radiologists, they conducted in-depth discussions, considering the patient's medical history, physical signs, and other auxiliary examination results[3]. Through this collaborative approach, they reached a consensus to ensure diagnostic accuracy. This dual-review and discussion mechanism significantly enhanced the reliability and precision of the diagnoses .

1.4 Differential Diagnosis Process

In the diagnosis of traumatic fractures, differential diagnosis is crucial to avoid misdiagnosis or missed diagnoses. A rigorous differential diagnosis process was developed by combining detailed patient history, comprehensive physical examinations, and radiologic findings. This process focuses particularly on distinguishing traumatic fractures from conditions such as localized soft tissue contusions, ligament injuries, joint dislocations, and bone tumors.The differential diagnosis involves careful analysis of imaging features, including fracture lines, distribution of bone fragments, and soft tissue swelling. Additionally, it incorporates information from the patient's history, such as the mechanism of injury, pain characteristics, and location, along with physical examination data on joint stability and range of motion.This comprehensive approach aims to ensure accurate diagnosis for each patient, providing a solid foundation for subsequent treatment. It also serves to protect the health and safety of patients by preventing diagnostic errors and ensuring appropriate and timely medical intervention.

2. Observation Indicators

To ensure the comprehensiveness and accuracy of the diagnosis of traumatic fractures, this study set multiple observation indicators. The primary focus is on the detection rate and missed diagnosis rate of fractures, which are fundamental metrics for evaluating the effectiveness of diagnostic methods, reflecting their sensitivity and reliability directly. Secondly, the diagnostic accuracy of fracture types is crucial, as different types of fractures require different treatment plans. Accurately identifying the type of fracture is essential for the patient's treatment and recovery. Similarly, the accuracy of diagnosing the fracture location is critical, as it directly impacts the choice of surgical approach and the formulation of postoperative rehabilitation plans.Furthermore, the accuracy in assessing associated soft tissue injuries is another key focus of this study. The extent of soft tissue damage often affects the healing speed of the fracture and the patient's functional recovery[4]. Lastly, this study emphasizes the accuracy of differential diagnosis, particularly distinguishing fractures from conditions such as localized soft tissue contusions, ligament injuries, and joint dislocations. This is a critical step to ensure precise diagnoses and to avoid misdiagnosis .

3. Results Analysis

3.1 Analysis of Fracture Detection and Diagnostic Accuracy

By comparing the diagnostic effectiveness of different imaging methods, differences in fracture detection rates and diagnostic accuracy were observed. The specific data are presented in the table 1 below :

Table 1 .data sheet

Imaging Method	Number of Examinations	Number of Fractures Detected	Detection Rate	Missed Diagnosis Rate	Fracture Type Diagnostic Accuracy	Fracture Site Diagnostic Accuracy
X-ray	500	450	90%	10%	85%	90%
CT	150	150	100%	0%	95%	98%
MRI	100	100	100%	0%	98%	100%

The data from the table indicate that X-ray films achieved a fracture detection rate of 90%, but with a 10% missed diagnosis rate. The diagnostic accuracy for fracture type and location was 85% and 90% respectively, relatively lower. This may be related to the imaging principles of X-ray, which may not be sensitive enough to detect certain subtle fracture lines or bone fragments. In contrast, both CT and MRI achieved a 100% fracture detection rate with no missed diagnoses. The diagnostic accuracy for fracture type and location was also significantly higher than X-ray films, especially for MRI, reaching 98% and 100% respectively. This is attributed to the high resolution and multi-dimensional imaging capabilities of CT and MRI, allowing for clearer display of fracture details.

3.2 Analysis of Soft Tissue Injury Assessment Accuracy

Among the 100 patients who underwent MRI examinations, soft tissue injuries were successfully detected in 80 cases, achieving an assessment accuracy of 80%. This result indicates that MRI exhibits a high level of accuracy in evaluating soft tissue injuries. The multi-sequence imaging capability of MRI allows for clear visualization of soft tissue structures, including muscles, tendons, and ligaments, thereby accurately assessing the extent of soft tissue damage.

3.3 Analysis of Differential Diagnosis Accuracy

The following accuracy results were achieved in the differential diagnosis of localized soft tissue contusions, ligament injuries, and joint dislocations below in the table 2 below :

Table 2 . results data sheet

Differential Disease	Number of Cases	Correct Diagnosis	Accuracy
Localized Soft Tissue Contusions	100	90	90%
Ligament Injuries	50	45	90%
Joint Dislocations	20	18	90%

From the data in the table, it is evident that we achieved high accuracy in the differential diagnosis of localized soft tissue contusions, ligament injuries, and joint dislocations, all reaching 90%. This result indicates that through a combination of imaging findings and physical examinations, we can effectively distinguish these similar conditions, ensuring diagnostic accuracy.

In summary, various imaging methods have their own advantages in the diagnosis of traumatic fractures. X-ray films are suitable for initial screening and rapid diagnosis; CT provides more accurate localization of complex fractures and bone fragments; while MRI has unique advantages in evaluating soft tissue injuries. In terms of differential diagnosis, through comprehensive evaluation of imaging findings and physical examinations, we can effectively differentiate similar diseases, thus improving diagnostic accuracy.

4. Discussion

This study extensively explored the specific applications of X-ray, CT, and MRI in the diagnosis of traumatic fractures, and concluded that the comprehensive use of these imaging methods can significantly improve the detection rate and diagnostic accuracy of fractures. X-ray films, as a long-standing and widely used diagnostic tool, play an important role in the initial screening of traumatic fractures. Its advantages lie in its simplicity of operation, low cost, and fast imaging speed. For most obvious fractures, X-ray films can provide clear images of fracture lines. However, its limitations are also evident, especially in detecting subtle or complex fractures where its detection capability may be relatively limited.In comparison to X-ray films, CT and MRI demonstrate higher efficiency in the detection and diagnosis of fractures.

The multi-dimensional reconstruction capability of CT enables precise localization of bone fragments and fracture lines, and can even detect occult fractures obscured by surrounding structures. MRI, with its excellent soft tissue resolution, stands out in evaluating associated soft tissue injuries, which is crucial for comprehensive fracture assessment and treatment planning.In the aspect of differential diagnosis, this study also achieved significant results. Through detailed imaging findings and physical examinations, the study successfully differentiated diseases with symptoms similar to traumatic fractures, such as localized soft tissue contusions, ligament injuries, and joint dislocations.However, this study still has certain limitations. Although the sample size has reached a certain scale, in order to comprehensively validate the reliability of the conclusions, future studies could further expand the sample range. Additionally, with the continuous advancement of medical imaging technology, future research can explore the potential and application value of emerging imaging technologies such as ultrasound bone density measurement and nuclear bone imaging in the diagnosis of traumatic fractures. The introduction of these technologies is expected to further enhance the accuracy and efficiency of diagnosis, thereby better serving patients.

Conclusion

Through detailed analysis and discussion of X-ray, CT, and MRI in the diagnosis of traumatic fractures, this study recognizes the importance of integrating multiple imaging methods to improve fracture detection rates and diagnostic accuracy. Each method has its own advantages, complementing each other, and providing clinicians with more comprehensive and accurate diagnostic basis. Additionally, it is also realized that comprehensive evaluation of imaging findings and physical examinations is equally important in differential diagnosis, aiding in more precise identification and treatment of patients. Despite achieving certain results in this study, continuous exploration and improvement are still necessary to provide better services for patients in future clinical practice. It is hoped that through ongoing research and practice, the diagnostic level of traumatic fractures can be further enhanced, leading to better treatment outcomes and quality of life for patients.

References

[1] Zheng Jian. Diagnostic efficacy of imaging parameters related to intervertebral disc injury and their risk factors in patients with traumatic thoracolumbar vertebral fractures[J]. Chinese and Foreign Medical Research, 2023, 21(09): 24-28.

[2] Fan Jiangrong, Zheng Yong, Shi Zhen, et al. Imaging diagnosis and causes of missed diagnosis of occult femoral neck fractures[J]. Chinese Journal of CT and MRI, 2021, 19(07): 144-146.

[3] Qiu Liqin, Deng Xiaoqing. Imaging differential diagnosis of thoracolumbar vertebral compression fractures and Xuemuer nodes[J]. World Latest Medical Information Abstracts, 2019, 19(45): 211-212.

[4] Wu Xiaoyong, Bi Wenzhi, Cui Xiang, et al. Imaging features and differential diagnosis of vertebral compression fractures caused by osteoporosis and malignant tumor metastasis: MRI and CT imaging[J]. Journal of Trauma Surgery, 2020, 22(10): 727-732.

*Correspondence to:

Xu Zhou,Chiping District People’s Hospital, Liaocheng, Shandong, 252100, China,E-mail:28757169@qq.com